

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMAIYA.—It is not necessary. I need not be a lawyer. All the Ministers are not lawyers. Many Speakers are not lawyers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—At least, I am a lawyer. Rule 295 is to be read with Rule 294. If it is subject to Rule 294 (3), Rule 295 cannot have absolute precedence over sub-rule (3) of Rule 194. First of all, you have to go through the procedure laid down by Rule 294. So, what I have said is that Rule 295 does not come into operation in contravention of sub-rule (3) of Rule 194. That is the conclusion. I also make it clear to the mover of the motion. Suppose he absents himself from the House or he does not want to make a reply—such cases have occurred what will happen then? Does it mean that the debate will be kept it abeyance till such time that he makes himself present in the House? No. That is why I say you have a right under Rule 294. With due respect to the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition, I beg to differ from him and I give the ruling that the Government have a right to speak and that is end of the matter.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMAIYA.—Sir, as per Rule 295, I do not get a chance to reply.

ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ನನಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಮೊಟಕು ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ಆಗಿದೆ. ತಾವು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಸ್ಪೀಕರು ಆಗಿದ್ದಾಗಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲ್ ಮೊಷನ್ನು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ತೋರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಇಡೀ ಮೈಸೂರುದೇಶದ ಜನತೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮೊಷನ್ನು ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್‌ರವರು ರೂಲಿಂಗು ಕೊಟ್ಟುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಮೊಟಕು ಮಾಡಿದಂತಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವು ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾವು ಪ್ರೊಟೆಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಡಿಬೇಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದಾಗಿ ನಾವೀಗ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ವಾಕಾಟು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

*(At this stage Sri B. K. Puttaramaiya and Members of the Opposition and a few independents withdraw from the House.)*

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is already six and the House now rises and will meet at 1 P.M. to-morrow.

*The House adjourned at Five Minutes past Six of the Clock to meet again at One of the Clock on Thursday the 9th March 1961.*